

The Magnetic Excitation Spectrum and Thermodynamics of High- T_c Superconductors

Pengcheng Dai,^{1,*} H. A. Mook,¹ S. M. Hayden,² G. Aeppli,³ T. G. Perring,⁴ R. D. Hunt,⁵
and F. Doğan⁶

¹Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6393, USA. ²H. H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 ITL, UK. ³NEC Research Institute, Princeton, New Jersey 08540, USA. ⁴Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, OX11 0QX, UK.

⁵Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6221, USA. ⁶Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: piq@ornl.gov

Abstract

Inelastic neutron scattering was used to study the wavevector- and frequency-dependent magnetic fluctuations in single crystals of superconducting $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. The spectra contain several important features, including a gap in the superconducting state, a pseudogap in the normal state and the much-discussed resonance peak. The appearance of the pseudogap determined from transport and nuclear resonance coincides with formation of the resonance in the magnetic excitations. The exchange energy associated with the resonance has the temperature and doping dependences as well as the magnitude to describe approximately the electronic specific heat near the superconducting transition temperature (T_c).

The parent compounds of the high-transition-temperature (high- T_c) cuprate superconductors are antiferromagnetic (AF) insulators characterised by a simple doubling of the crystallographic unit cells in the CuO_2 planes. When holes are doped into these planes, the long-range AF ordered phase disappears and the lamellar copper oxide materials become metallic and superconducting with persistent short-range AF spin correlations (fluctuations). Although spin fluctuations in cuprate superconductors are observed for materials such as $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ [denote as $(123)\text{O}_{6+x}$] at all hole doping levels, x [1–6], the role of such fluctuations in the pairing mechanism and superconductivity is still a subject of controversy [7]. The most prominent feature in the fluctuations for $(123)\text{O}_{6+x}$ is a sharp resonance which for highly doped compositions appears below the superconducting transition temperature T_c at an energy of 41 meV [1–3]. We show that the temperature-dependent resonance intensity is correlated with the electronic part of the specific heat of $(123)\text{O}_{6+x}$, $C^{el}(x, T)$ [8,9], including the extended fluctuation regime (“pseudogap phase”), and that the pseudogap temperature T^* determined from the onset of the resonance intensity agrees with results of transport and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) techniques. By making absolute intensity measurements of the magnetic resonance, $S_{res}(q, \omega)$, we estimate the contribution of its exchange energy [10,11] to the $C^{el}(x, T)$ anomaly around T_c . We find that the temperature and doping dependence of the resonance exchange energy can account for the $C^{el}(x, T)$ anomaly [9], suggesting that a large part of this anomaly is due to spin fluctuations.

Our experiments were performed using triple-axis spectrometers at the High-Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory [2,4] and the MARI direct geometry chopper spectrometer at the ISIS pulsed spallation neutron source of the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory [12]. The momentum transfer (q_x, q_y, q_z) is measured in units of $\text{\AA}^{-1}$ and reciprocal space positions are specified in reciprocal lattice units (rlu) $(h, k, l) = (q_x a/2\pi, q_y b/2\pi, q_z c/2\pi)$, where a , b ($\simeq a$), and c are the lattice parameters of the orthorhombic unit cell of $(123)\text{O}_{6+x}$. For this study, we prepared four single-crystal samples by the melt texture growth technique [2,4]. Subsequent annealing resulted in oxygen stoichiometries of $x = 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.93$ with superconducting transitions at $T_c = 62.7, 74, 82,$

92.5 K, respectively.

The complete magnetic excitation spectra (Fig. 1) are obtained by integration of the magnetic neutron scattering over the two-dimensional Brillouin zone (BZ) of $(123)\text{O}_{6+x}$ for $x = 0.6$ at several temperatures [13,14]. Because the CuO_2 planes in $(123)\text{O}_{6+x}$ actually appear in coupled bilayers, magnetic fluctuations that are in-phase (acoustic or χ''_{ac}) or out-of-phase (optical or χ''_{op}) [15] with respect to the neighboring plane will have different spectra [1–6]. That the optical excitations occur at higher energies than the acoustic excitations, and are characterized by a substantial gap even in the normal state at 80 K, demonstrates that the bilayer coupling remains antiferromagnetic on going from the insulating parent to the superconducting metal. Upon lowering the temperature from 290 K to 35 K, the most dramatic change in the local-susceptibility $\chi''(\omega)$ for energies less than 100 meV is the appearance of a resonance at 34 meV in the acoustic mode as shown in the shaded areas of Fig. 1. For $x = 0.6$, the resonance is clearly present not only below T_c but also at 80 K, albeit in reduced form. Beyond the resonance, there is a broad feature extending at least to 220 meV, with a maximum at approximately 75 meV. This broad feature is reminiscent of the continuum, peaked at around 20 meV, seen in the single layer compound $\text{La}_{1.86}\text{Sr}_{0.14}\text{CuO}_4$ [16]. Well below the resonance peak, scattering is suppressed and a true spin-gap with a value of about 20 meV develops in the superconducting state (Fig. 1A). At room temperature, the optical and acoustic spectra (Figs. 1C and 1F) show no maxima over the range of our measurements.

Because the most dramatic change in the magnetic spectrum is the change in the resonance intensity, we focus on the temperature and composition dependence of the resonance. The detailed momentum and frequency dependence of $S_{res}(q, \omega)$ obtained at HFIR at various temperatures around the resonance energy for $(123)\text{O}_{6.6}$ (Fig. 2) show that in the low temperature superconducting state (Fig. 2A), the spectrum is dominated by the resonance at 34 meV. At temperatures just above T_c (Fig. 2B), the resonance broadens and decreases in intensity, consistent with the ISIS data of Fig. 1. The resonance peak intensity appears to shift smoothly toward higher energies in the normal state with increasing temperature.

A signature of the resonance remains at 125 K and 150 K, but essentially vanishes at 200 K. Previous temperature dependent measurements for underdoped $(123)\text{O}_{6+x}$ indicate that the resonance peak intensity changes its characteristics at T_c [4,5]. While the intensity at the resonance energy has a noticeable upturn upon cooling through T_c , the scattering at frequencies above and below the resonance decrease below T_c [4,5]. This behavior can now be understood as arising from the narrowing of the resonance in energy from the normal to the superconducting states.

The temperature dependence of the resonance peak intensity $[S_{res}(q, \omega)]$ for three $(123)\text{O}_{6+x}$ samples with different doping levels and transition temperatures is shown in Fig. 3, A through C. For $x = 0.6$, the temperature dependence of the momentum- and frequency- integrated resonance is found to be different from that of the peak intensity (Fig. 3A). For $(123)\text{O}_{6.8}$, similar behavior also occurs, but because the resonance is weaker above T_c , the counting times required to obtain reliable integrals of the type found for $x = 0.6$ are prohibitive, and so we are content with simply plotting the peak intensities. Finally, for $x = 0.93$, no broadening in either energy or wave vector has been identified [1–3], and so in this case, the temperature dependence of the integrated spectral weight does actually follow the peak intensity. We define the mean-squared (fluctuating) moment associated with the resonance as $\langle m_{res}^2 \rangle = 3/(2\pi) \int d(\hbar\omega) \chi''_{res}(\omega)/(1 - \exp(-\hbar\omega/kT))$, where $\hbar$ is the Planck's constant divided by 2π , k is the Boltzmann's constant, $\chi''_{res}(\omega)$ [14,15] is the resonance part of the acoustic spectrum of Fig. 1, and the factor of $1/2$ arises from averaging $\sin^2(q_z d/2)$ over q_z . For $(123)\text{O}_{6.6}$ and $(123)\text{O}_{6.93}$ our measurements are expressed in absolute units obtained by scaling to the low temperature measurements performed on both compounds at ISIS [13].

The most obvious feature of Figs. 3, A through C, is that as the doping level and T_c decrease, there is a progressively larger pretransitional regime above T_c . Specifically, for the ideally doped sample ($x = 0.93$), the onset of the resonance occurs at a temperature T^* which almost coincides with T_c . For $x = 0.8$ and 0.6 , T^* increases to approximately 115 ± 15 and 150 ± 20 K, respectively, even while both T_c and the resonance energy itself

are reduced. Thus, the weight of the temperature-dependent resonance joins the long list of properties which show pretransitional behavior in suboptimally doped $(123)\text{O}_{6+x}$. The cross-over temperature T^* (Fig. 4A) coincides with the temperatures below which the temperature derivatives of the electrical resistivity $d\rho(T)/dT$ [19,20] and the Cu nuclear $(T_1T)^{-1}$ relaxation rate [21] reaches a broad maxima. The anomalies occurring at T^* are generally associated with the opening of a pseudogap in the low-energy spin excitation spectrum, a supposition also supported by neutron scattering data such as those in Fig. 1.

According to thermodynamics, a metal undergoes a transition into the superconducting state because such a transition can lower its total free energy, F [22]. The difference in free energy of the system between the normal state, extrapolated to zero temperature, (F_N) and the superconducting state (F_S) is the condensation energy, that is, $E_C = (F_N - F_S)_{T=0}$. In principle, the free energy of a system, and therefore E_C , can be derived from the temperature dependence of $C^{el}(x, T)$ [8]. For $(123)\text{O}_{6+x}$, $C^{el}(x, T)$ has been measured by Loram *et al.* [9]. The key features of the corresponding data (Fig. 3E) are a sharp jump at T_c for the optimally doped $(123)\text{O}_{6.92}$, which becomes much suppressed upon reduction of the oxygen content. Although there is less entropy released at T_c , more seems to be released at temperatures above T_c , as x is reduced below its optimal value. Thus, the specific heat tracks the temperature derivative (Fig. 3D) of the spectral weight of the resonance. Just as for the specific heat, decreasing doping reduces the maximum in $d\langle m_{res}^2 \rangle/dT$ at T_c and introduces progressively broader high-temperature tails.

In the t - J model [23], the Hamiltonian of the system consists of a nearest-neighbor hopping (t) term accounting for the kinetic energy (E_K) of the carriers and a magnetic exchange (J) term of the type describing the insulating antiferromagnetic parent compound [24]. Magnetic fluctuations with a particular wavevector q contribute to the exchange energy (E_J) via a product with $J(q)$, the Fourier transform of the exchange interactions [10]. Although magnetic spectra as complicated as those in Fig. 1 will be difficult to describe within such a simple model, the exchange part of the t - J Hamiltonian does provide a convenient way to quantitatively estimate the effect of magnetic fluctuations on the thermodynamic properties

of high- T_c superconductors. For (123)O_{6+x}, which has two coupled CuO₂ layers per unit cell, the exchange interactions consist of the nearest-neighbor spin-spin coupling J in the same CuO₂ plane and the coupling $J_\perp$ between two CuO₂ planes within the unit cell. Since J is much larger than $J_\perp$ [24], the exchange energy is [10,11,25]:

$$E_J \simeq \frac{3}{(g\mu_B)^2} \left(\frac{a}{2\pi}\right)^2 \int \frac{d(\hbar\omega)}{\pi} \int dq_x dq_y \frac{1}{2} J [\cos(q_x a) + \cos(q_y b)] \frac{[\chi''_{ac}(q_x, q_y, \omega) + \chi''_{op}(q_x, q_y, \omega)]}{1 - \exp(-\hbar\omega/kT)} \quad (1)$$

where g is the Lande factor ($\simeq 2$) and μ_B is the Bohr magneton. Thus, Eq. 1 gives the contribution of the exchange energy to the total energy. Since the heat capacity is the temperature derivative of the total energy, we can estimate how the magnetic fluctuations responsible for the resonance affect the thermodynamic properties of (123)O_{6+x} [8,9]. As the resonance grows, more spins fluctuating at the resonance frequency are correlated antiferromagnetically, and the exchange energy is correspondingly reduced. Assuming that the spins accounting for the resonance are not spatially correlated at high temperatures and therefore do not contribute to Eq. 1, the contribution of the exchange energy of the resonance (E_J^{res}) to the specific heat, or $C_J^{res}(x, T)$, is then

$$C_J^{res}(x, T) \simeq \frac{dE_J^{res}}{dT} = -\frac{3}{4\mu_B^2} J \frac{d}{dT} \left(\int \frac{d(\hbar\omega)}{\pi} \frac{\chi''_{res}(\omega)}{1 - \exp(-\hbar\omega/kT)} \right) = -\frac{J}{2\mu_B^2} \frac{d\langle m_{res}^2 \rangle}{dT} \quad (2).$$

Therefore, $C_J^{res}(x, T)$ is approximately proportional to the temperature derivative of the spectral weight of the resonance, as we already discovered from comparison of our data with the measured specific heat. In deriving Eq. 2 from Eq. 1 we relied on the fact that the resonance is sharply peaked around $(\pi/a, \pi/b)$. The contribution of the resonance exchange energy to the heat capacity calculated using Eq. 2 with the measured exchange coupling $J = 125 \pm 20$ meV for (123)O_{6.6} [13] is shown in Fig. 3D (right hand scale) and can be compared to the measured $C^{el}(x, T)$ of Loram *et al.* in Fig. 3E [9]. The model calculation with no adjustable parameters shows that the resonance can provide enough temperature-dependent exchange energy to yield the superconducting anomaly in the specific heat [26]. This suggests that a large part of the specific heat near T_c and its associated entropy are due to spin fluctuations.

Clearly, the measured specific heat includes contributions other than that due to the exchange energy of the resonance (E_J^{res}). While we included the most obvious temperature dependent feature in our calculation, the temperature evolution of the remainder of the spin excitation spectrum is neglected. Most notably we ignored the formation of the spin pseudogap below T^* and spin gap below T_c , both of which could increase E_J . Further, we have not considered the contribution of E_K to $C^{el}(x, T)$. For superconductors, E_K is expected to increase from the normal to the superconducting state [10]. Both this effect and spin (pseudo)gap formation could partially compensate for the reduction of E_J due to the appearance of the resonance. Such compensation might explain why the area of the calculated $C_J^{res}(x, T)$ anomaly is considerably larger than that of the $C^{el}(x, T)$ measurement.

In the next few years, the contributions missing from the present analysis should become calculable using better neutron and optical conductivity data, which would provide the necessary information on the temperature-dependent magnetic exchange and kinetic energy terms, respectively. It would also be of considerable interest to make further model calculations to estimate the change in the resonance exchange energy between the normal and superconducting states at zero temperature and hence the resonance exchange energy contribution to the condensation energy [10,11]. Unfortunately, this comparison is difficult because the normal state $S(q, \omega)$ must be extrapolated to zero temperature. This cannot be done reliably with the present data and presents a challenge for the future.

In summary, we show that a pseudogap regime bounded by a temperature $T^* > T_c$ determined from transport, NMR, and thermodynamic measurements can be associated with the appearance of the resonance peak and the suppression of the low frequency response in the magnetic excitation spectrum. Our complete spectra allow us to establish the weight of the resonance relative to that of other spectral features. A simple calculation of the exchange energy using the measured temperature dependence of the resonance shows that spin fluctuations can account for a large part of the electronic specific heat near the superconducting transition.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Rossat-Mignod *et al.*, Physica (Amsterdam) **185C**, 86 (1991).
- [2] H. A. Mook, M. Yethiraj, G. Aeppli, T. E. Mason, and T. Armstrong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3490 (1993).
- [3] H. F. Fong *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 316 (1995).
- [4] P. Dai, M. Yethiraj, H. A. Mook, T. B. Lindemer, and F. Doğan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 5425 (1996).
- [5] H. F. Fong, B. Keimer, D. L. Milius, and I. A. Aksay, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 713 (1997).
- [6] P. Bourges *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **56**, R11439 (1997).
- [7] Proceedings of the 10th Anniversary HTS Workshop on Physics, Materials, and Applications, Eds. B. Batlogg, C. W. Chu, W. K. Chu, D. V. Gubser, and K. A. Muller, (World Scientific) 1996.
- [8] J. W. Loram, K. A. Mirza, and P. F. Freeman, Physica C **171**, 243 (1990).
- [9] J. W. Loram, K. A. Mirza, J. R. Cooper, W. Y. Liang, and J. M. Wade, J. Superconductivity **7**, 243 (1994).
- [10] D. J. Scalapino and S. R. White, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 8222 (1998).
- [11] E. Demler and S. C. Zhang, Nature **396**, 733 (1998).
- [12] See, for example, G. Aeppli, S. M. Hayden, and T. G. Perring, Physics World, December 1998, p33.
- [13] S. M. Hayden *et al.*, Physica B **241-243**, 765 (1998).
- [14] We have used the elastic incoherent scattering from a vanadium standard under the same experimental conditions to put the two-dimensional wavevector integrated or local- susceptibility $\chi''(\omega) = (a/2\pi)^2 \int_{\text{BZ}} \chi''(q_x, q_y, \omega) dq_x dq_y$ from (123)O_{6+x} on an absolute scale

(see, for example, Ref. [16]). Although at low frequencies $\chi''(q, \omega)$ for $(123)\text{O}_{6.6}$ has been shown to peak at wavevector positions incommensurate from the underlying crystallographic lattice in the low temperature superconducting state [17,18], we have only used a Gaussian convolved with the instrumental resolution to carry out the momentum integration within the scattering plane (see Fig. 6 of Ref. [13]). The poor out-of-plane resolution of the instrument means that the integration of the magnetic intensity over momentum transfer perpendicular to the scattering plane is carried out automatically. The validity of such procedure to obtain $\chi''(\omega)$ has been confirmed by integrating the two-dimensional images of $\chi''(q_x, q_y, \omega)$ at 24 meV and 34 meV [18].

- [15] It is convenient to split the magnetic response into two parts $\chi''(q, \omega) = \chi''_{ac}(q_x, q_y, \omega) \sin^2(q_z d/2) + \chi''_{op}(q_x, q_y, \omega) \cos^2(q_z d/2)$, where d is the spacing between nearest-neighbor CuO planes.
- [16] S. M. Hayden *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1344 (1996).
- [17] P. Dai, H. A. Mook, and F. Doğan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1738 (1998).
- [18] H. A. Mook *et al.*, Nature **395**, 580 (1998).
- [19] T. Ito, K. Takenaka, and S. Uchida, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3995 (1993).
- [20] B. Wuyts, V. V. Moshchalkov, and Y. Bruynseraede, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 9418 (1996).
- [21] M. Takigawa *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 247 (1991).
- [22] J. R. Shrieffer, Theory of Superconductivity, *Addison-Wesley, MA*, (1964).
- [23] F. C. Zhang and T. M. Rice, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 3759 (1988).
- [24] See, for example, S. M. Hayden, G. Aeppli, T. G. Perring, H. A. Mook, and F. Doğan, Phys. Rev. B **54**, R6905 (1996).
- [25] Note that our susceptibility χ is defined as $\frac{1}{3}(\chi_{xx} + \chi_{yy} + \chi_{zz})$ while χ_{+-} is used in Ref. [11]. We wish to thank E. Demler for pointing this out and for many discussions

about condensation energy in the high- T_c superconductors.

[26] $C_J^{res}(x, T)$ is the resonance contribution to the $C^{el}(x, T)$, not $C^{el}(x, T)$ itself. Therefore, one should only compare $C_J^{res}(x, T)$ to the change in $C^{el}(x, T)$ above the sloped background.

[27] We thank B. Batlogg, E. Demler, R. S. Fishman, J. W. Loram, D. Morr, D. Pines, D. J. Scalapino, and S. C. Zhang for stimulating discussions. The work at Oak Ridge was supported by the US DOE under Contract No. DE-AC05-96OR22464 with Lockheed Martin Energy Research Corp.

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Local- or wavevector-integrated frequency-dependent magnetic susceptibility $\chi''(\omega)$ for acoustic and optical modes in $(123)\text{O}_{6.6}$ corrected for the isotropic Cu^{2+} magnetic form factor and instrumental resolution [13]. The effect of Cu^{2+} anisotropic form factor [S. Shamoto, M. Sato, J. M. Tranquada, B. J. Sternlieb, and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 13817 (1993)] is not considered. The data were collected with the crystal mounted in the (h, h, l) scattering plane at MARI spectrometer at ISIS. (A-C) were chosen at q_z positions to emphasize acoustic modes and (D-F) the optical modes. Integrating the area under the resonance peak and converting to moment squared yields $\langle m_{res}^2 \rangle = 0.06 \pm 0.04 \mu_B^2 \text{f.u.}^{-1}$ at 35 K and $0.04 \pm 0.03 \mu_B^2 \text{f.u.}^{-1}$ at 80 K. The resonance in $(123)\text{O}_{6.6}$ accounts for about 14% of the spectral weight up to 80 meV at 35 K (Fig. 1A) and about 1% of the total moment-squared $2g^2\mu_B^2 S(S+1) = 6 \mu_B^2$ expected per formula unit (f.u.) assuming $S = 1/2$ for each copper ion. Similarly, we find that the 41 meV resonance for $(123)\text{O}_{6.93}$ has $\langle m_{res}^2 \rangle = 0.06 \pm 0.04 \mu_B^2 \text{f.u.}^{-1}$ at 25 K. Note that the estimated error in $\langle m_{res}^2 \rangle$ does not include the $\sim 30\%$ systematic error involved in normalizing the scattering to a vanadium standard.

FIG. 2. The magnetic structure factor $S_{res}(q, \omega)$ around the resonance energy for $(123)\text{O}_{6.6}$ at various temperatures. The data were taken with the HB-3 triple-axis spectrometer at HFIR using pyrolytic graphite as monochromator, analyzer, and filters. The collimations were, proceeding from the reactor to the detector, $50'-40'-80'-240'$, and the final neutron energy was fixed at 30.5 meV. To compose the color figures, constant-energy scans along the $(h, 3h, 1.7)$ direction [3,4] from $h = 0.42$ to 0.58 rlu were performed at energy transfers of $\hbar\omega = 25, 27, 30, 34, 37, 40, 43$, and 46 meV. Magnetic intensity was assumed to be scattering above the linear background of the constant-energy scans. The scattering at different temperatures was normalized to 300 monitor counts which correspond to 7 minutes per point at $\hbar\omega = 34$ meV. Note the change in the vertical color bar scales, made to better display the dramatic decrease of the magnetic intensities on warming.

FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of the resonance peak intensity (filled circles) for (A) $(123)\text{O}_{6.6}$ at $\hbar\omega = 34$ meV, (B) $(123)\text{O}_{6.8}$ at 39 meV, and (C) $(123)\text{O}_{6.93}$ at 40 meV at the (0.5,1.5,1.7) rlu position on triple-axis spectrometers at HFIR. Left axes show intensity normalized to $\langle m_{res}^2 \rangle$ and right axes to the peak intensity at low temperatures. The error bars in (A-C) include only the statistical errors of the triple-axis measurements. The squares in (A) are the integrated $S_{res}(q, \omega)$ and the triangles in (C) are from Ref. 2. T^* s are defined as the initial appearance of the resonance. The solid line in (C) below T_c is a fit using the modified two fluid model $[I(T)/I(0) = 1 - (T/T_c)^{3.09}]$. (D) The estimated $d\langle m_{res}^2 \rangle/dT$ for $(123)\text{O}_{6+x}$ is from the temperature derivative of the solid lines in (A-C). To calculate the absolute magnitude of $C_J^{res}(x, T)$ using Eq. 2, we have assumed $J = 125$ meV (Ref. 13), $\langle m_{res}^2 \rangle = 0.06 \mu_{\text{B}}^2 \text{f.u}^{-1}$, and 1 mole = 666.15 grams for each of the three compositions. Note we have not considered the uncertainties of $C_J^{res}(x, T)$ arising from the systematic errors in determining the absolute magnitude of $\langle m_{res}^2 \rangle$, due most notably to the ambiguity in removing the nonresonance portion of the signal (Fig. 1A). (E) $C^{el}(x, T)$ from Ref. 9 was converted to SI units using $1 \text{ g-at.} \equiv 1/(12+x) \text{ moles}$.

FIG. 4. Phase diagram of $(123)\text{O}_{6+x}$ summarizing the results of transport, NMR, and neutron scattering. Because various methods of oxygenation, slightly different T_c values are reported for the same nominal doping of $(123)\text{O}_{6+x}$. We avoid this inconsistency by plotting characteristic temperatures as a function of T_c . (A) The open circles and open squares are temperatures which $d\rho(T)/dT$ reaches a broad maximum (Refs. 19, 20). The open diamonds show the the pseudogap temperature T^* determined from NMR measurements (Ref. 21). The filled circles locate T_c and T^* , where the resonance first appears in our samples. (B) Filled circles show resonance energy versus the transition temperature T_c . Filled squares are from Fong *et al.* (Ref. 5). Horizontal error bars are superconducting transition widths. The solid lines are guides to the eye.